

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.). FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,589	11/08	8/2001	Bruce A. Bennett	20375-001700	8548
20350	7590	02/18/2004		EXAMINER	
	ID AND TO	WNSEND AND	MACKEY, PATRICK HEWEY		
EIGHTH FL		CLIVILIC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA	94111-3834		3651	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/045,589	BENNETT ET AL.	T ET AL.	
riavioory riodon	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Patrick H. Mackey	3651	•	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cov r sh et with the c	correspondence add	r ss	
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application appli	cation. A proper report can place the application of the capplication of the capplicat	ply to a cation in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	•			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date-for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I36(a) and the appropriate extending the final Office action; or	Gee MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF				
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:			
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment	
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-29 and 31-35.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on <u>09 January 2004</u> is	s a)□ approved or b)⊠ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	. 1		
10. Other:		Patrick H. Mackey		
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3651		

- - - A

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment would at least require changes to the rejections in the Final Office Action.